

Validity and Reliability Study for the Turkish Adaptation of the Hypersensitive Narcissism Scale (HSNS)

Begum Zubeyde Sengul¹,
Elif Unal¹, Seray Akca¹,
Fazilet Canbolat², Merve Denizci³,
Gulbahar Bastug⁴

¹Graduate Student in Clinical Psychology Ph. D. Program,
³Graduate Student in Clinical Psychology M.S. Program,
Middle East Technical University, Faculty of Arts and
Sciences, Department of Psychology, Ankara - Turkey
²Research Assist., Ipek University, Department of
Psychology, Ankara - Turkey
⁴Assoc. Prof. Dr., Ankara University, Vocational School of
Health, Ankara - Turkey

ABSTRACT

Validity and reliability study for the Turkish adaptation of the Hypersensitive Narcissism Scale (HSNS)

Objective: The condition of narcissism is divided into grandiose (overt) and hypersensitive (covert) narcissism. These two states are different in terms of etiological and behavioral dimensions. Correct assessment is important for determining the treatment. The Narcissistic Personality Inventory (NPI) does not predict covert narcissism, whereas the Hypersensitive Narcissism Scale (HSNS) does. The aim of this study is to assess the psychometric properties of the Turkish version of the HSNS.

Method: Participants of the study were 300 university students. The HSNS, the NPI and the Basic Personality Traits Inventory (BPTI) were administered as the primary outcome measure.

Results: Factor analysis revealed that the item content of the newly created eight-item Turkish version of the HSNS reflected one factor identified as hypersensitivity. Moreover, the reliability analysis demonstrated that the eight-item Turkish version of the HSNS was a reliable scale. On the other hand, no significant relationships were found between age, gender, and educational levels of the participants and their scores on the Turkish version of the HSNS. A near-zero correlation between the Turkish version of the HSNS and the NPI was demonstrated. Finally, there were significant negative correlations between the HSNS and the BPTI's subscales of extraversion and agreeableness, and significant positive correlations between the HSNS and the BPTI's subscales of neuroticism and negative valence.

Conclusion: The current study revealed that the reliability and validity of eight-item Turkish version of the HSNS were adequate, and it provided some evidence to demonstrate the existence of selected personality features that may be useful in differentiating hypersensitive narcissism from grandiose narcissism.

Keywords: Covert narcissism, grandiose narcissism, hypersensitive narcissism, narcissistic personality, overt narcissism

ÖZET

Aşırı Duyarlı Narsisizm Ölçeği (ADNÖ)'nin Türkçe adaptasyonu için geçerlik ve güvenilirlik çalışması

Amaç: Narsisizm grandiyöz (açık) ve aşırı duyarlı (örtük) narsisizm olmak üzere ikiye ayrılır. Bu iki durum etiyolojik ve davranışsal boyutlar açısından farklıdır, tedavinin belirlenmesinde ölçekler önemlidir. Narsistik Kişilik Envanteri (NKE) örtük narsisizmi yordamazken, Aşırı Duyarlı Narsisizm Ölçeği (ADNÖ) yordamaktadır. Bu çalışmanın amacı ADNÖ'nün Türkçe sürümünün psikometrik özelliklerini araştırmaktır.

Yöntem: Katılımcılar 300 üniversite öğrencisinden oluşmaktadır. Katılımcılara ADNÖ, NKE ve Temel Kişilik Özellikleri Ölçeği (TKÖÖ) uygulanmıştır.

Bulgular: Faktör analizinde 8 maddelik ölçeğin aşırı duyarlılık denilen tek bir faktörden oluştuğu bulunmuştur. Güvenirlik analizi de ölçeğin güvenilir olduğunu göstermiştir. Yaş, cinsiyet ve eğitim düzeyleri ile ölçek puanları arasında anlamlı bir ilişki bulunmamıştır. ADNÖ'nün Türkçe versiyonu ve Narsistik Kişilik Envanteri (NKE) arasında sıfıra yakın korelasyon elde edilmiştir. ADNÖ ile TKÖÖ'nün dışadönüklük ve geçimlilik/uyumluluk alt ölçekleri arasında anlamlı negatif bir ilişki bulunmuşken, ADNÖ ile TKÖÖ'nün nörotisizm ve olumsuz değerlik alt ölçekleri arasında anlamlı pozitif ilişki bulunmuştur.

Sonuç: Bu çalışma ile ADNÖ'nün 8 maddelik Türkçe sürümünün geçerli ve güvenilir bir araç olduğu, aşırı duyarlı narsisizmi büyükenmeci narsisizmden ayırmada yararlı olabilecek kişilik özelliklerinin varlığını göstermek için kullanılabileceği sonucuna varılmıştır.

Anahtar kelimeler: Örtük narsisizm, büyükenmeci narsisizm, aşırı duyarlı narsisizm, narsistik kişilik, açık narsisizm



Address reprint requests to / Yazışma adresi:
Begum Zubeyde Sengul,
Middle East Technical University, Social
Sciences Building, Department of Psychology,
Office B232A, 06800 Çankaya/Ankara, Turkey

Phone / Telefon: +90-312-210-5110

E-mail address / Elektronik posta adresi:
begumsengul@gmail.com

Date of receipt / Geliş tarihi:
November 15, 2014 / 15 Kasım 2014

Date of acceptance / Kabul tarihi:
March 10, 2015 / 10 Mart 2015

INTRODUCTION

The term of narcissism can be described as “one’s capacity to maintain a relatively positive self-image through a variety of self-, affect-, and field-regulatory processes, and it underlies individuals’ need for validation and affirmation as well as the motivation to overtly and covertly seek out self-enhancement experiences from the social environment” (1). In terms of clinical practice, pathological narcissism is characterized in the DSM-IV-TR (2) by a list of features including grandiose sense of self-importance together with an excessive need for the admiration of others, arrogance, a sense of uniqueness and entitlement, a lack of empathy, envy, and a tendency to exploit others.

Several studies (3,4) have showed that there are two types of narcissism, which might be described as “grandiose narcissism” and “vulnerable narcissism”. Grandiose narcissism refers to the term of overt narcissism, whereas vulnerable narcissism corresponds to covert narcissism or hypersensitive narcissism (5). The overt form is characterized particularly by lack of empathy, lack of vicarious personal distress, keeping social affirmation; being control-oriented, detached, sufficient, intrusive, dominant, assertive, aggressive, self-centered (6), self-assured, rebellious, exhibitionist; having poor impulse control, strong power orientation (7), primary psychopathy, physical and verbal aggressiveness, and psychological distress (8). On the other hand, the covert type harboring anxiety, social anxiety, and loneliness is related to high cognitive capacity for fantasy (6); being socially detached, distrustful, socially inhibited, and reliant on others (9). Moreover, it is associated with interpersonal sensitivity and depression (7), secondary psychopathy, anger, hostility, lack of self-confidence, being overtly inhibited, introverted and hypersensitive (8). Furthermore, since individuals with vulnerable narcissism show their sensitivity to evaluations by other people via covert behaviors, vulnerable narcissism is associated with the affective and cognitive features of aggression rather than being a verbal type of it. It was also found that due to their

hypersensitiveness to others’ evaluations, vulnerable narcissistic people have a greater tendency to be aggressive in a rejection condition as compared to those with low levels of vulnerable narcissism (5). These two dimensions of narcissism also differ with regard to their underlying personality traits. In particular, grandiose narcissism is associated with high extraversion, low agreeableness, and low neuroticism, whereas vulnerable narcissism is associated with high neuroticism, low agreeableness, and low extraversion. Finally, they differ according to associated outcomes: while grandiose narcissism might result in some externalizing symptoms, vulnerable narcissism might bring out internalizing ones (4).

Therefore, overlooking hypersensitive narcissism may lead to a possible diagnostic bias, because narcissistic pathology might either go undiagnosed or may be misdiagnosed as a different personality disorder (3) such as avoidant and/or dependent personality disorder. For instance, while dependency in close relationships, inadequacy feelings, and pseudo humbleness that are frequently present in hypersensitive narcissism might be considered as dependent personality features, the symptoms of reference due to oversensitivity to social cues, prominent social anxiety, and inability to trust other people may be misdiagnosed as avoidant personality features (10).

Some researchers have argued that the advancement of knowledge about narcissism has been obstructed by the reliance on a single, potentially inappropriate measure of narcissism: the Narcissistic Personality Inventory (NPI) developed by Raskin and Terry (11). The NPI successfully assesses narcissism in a manner that is consistent with its operationalization as a personality disorder. However, much criticism of the NPI has been raised due to a number of different concerns, such as its overlap with self-esteem and its inclusion of content tangential to narcissism (e.g., leadership). Moreover, its structural validity and dimensionality, its convergent validity with other measures of narcissism, and whether it measures a healthy or pathological variant of narcissism have all been questioned (12). Rosenthal and Hooley (13)

contended that caution is needed in interpreting the literature on narcissism derived from the use of the NPI. Therefore, NPI scores should not be considered as a perfect measure of the latent trait of narcissism (8). In the light of this information, in order to overcome the current ambiguities about the conceptualization and measurement of narcissism, Hendin and Cheek (14) proposed a new measure of hypersensitive narcissism, the Hypersensitive Narcissism Scale (HSNS). This measure has been found to have an adequate reliability and a near-zero correlation with the NPI, which indicates a good discriminant validity in delineating between overt and covert narcissism.

In essence, there has been a significant need for the assessment of narcissism's particular features which should be identified in a discriminative manner. The aim of the current study was to develop a Turkish version of the HSNS and to introduce psychometric properties (i.e., reliability and validity) of the HSNS into Turkish psychology literature. Specifically, it was expected that the HSNS would be differentiated from the NPI in terms of its sensitivity in measuring various parts of narcissism. Therefore, a zero correlation between the HSNS and the NPI was expected to be found. Moreover, with regard to the dimensions of the Basic Personality Traits Inventory (BPTI) developed by Gencoz and Oncul (15), it was hypothesized that the HSNS would be negatively correlated with extraversion and agreeableness but positively correlated with the neuroticism dimension.

METHOD

Statistical analyses were conducted with the scores from 300 university students. Of the participants, 213 (71.0%, n=300) were females, and 87 (29.0%, n=300) were males. The mean age of participants was 22.72 years (SD=3.41), whereas 76.7% of them were between the ages of 18 and 24, 20.3% of them were between 25 and 30 years old, and the remaining 3.0% were between the ages of 31 and 46. The majority (72.7%) of the respondents was undergraduate students (n=218), whereas there were 62 (20.7%) graduate and 20 (6.6%) postgraduate students.

Measures

The Hypersensitive Narcissism Scale (HSNS):

The HSNS developed by Hendin and Cheek assesses covert narcissism (14). This new measure of hypersensitive narcissism was derived by correlating the items of Murray's Narcissism Scale (16) with an MMPI-based composite measure of covert narcissism. The measure consists of 10 items (e.g., "I can become entirely absorbed in thinking about my personal affairs, my health, my cares or my relations to others;" "My feelings are easily hurt by ridicule or the slighting remarks of others"), which determined one factor, covert narcissism, with responses made on scales ranging from 1 (very uncharacteristic) to 5 (very characteristic). Acceptable reliability ($\alpha=0.629$) and construct validity were established ($r=0.63$) for the original version. Basically, the new HSNS and the MMPI-based composite showed similar patterns of correlations with the Big Five Inventory, and both measures correlated near-zero with the NPI, which assesses overt narcissism (14). Similarly, in a study conducted with the Italian sample the internal consistency of the HSNS was also adequate ($\alpha=0.71$) (10).

Basic Personality Traits Inventory (BPTI): The BPTI was developed by Gencoz and Oncul (15) to measure basic personality traits that are specific to Turkish culture in accordance with the Five Factor Model of Personality (FFM). The inventory consists of 45 items (e.g., "Timid", "Self-disciplined", "Sincere", "Nervous") that are rated on a 5-point Likert-type scale (from 1: very uncharacteristic to 5: very characteristic). The BPTI revealed six separate personality factors, described as extraversion, conscientiousness, agreeableness, neuroticism, openness to experience, and negative valence. Extraversion has been defined in terms of positive affectivity (17) and positive social interactions (18,19). Similarly, agreeableness has been characterized in terms of high quality in social interactions (20). Moreover, agreeableness was found to be negatively associated with social anxiety and state-trait anxiety variables. Conscientiousness signifies

goal-directed behavior (21,22) and acquirement of strategies to cope with frustration coming from objects and tasks (23), while openness to experience reflects the flexible part of the personality structure (21) and was found to be positively associated with self-esteem and positive affect. On the other hand, neuroticism is consistently implied to be associated with proneness to psychological distress (21,24-26), negative affectivity (26), and maladaptive coping strategies (27). Though being a relatively new dimension, findings related to negative valence also indicate its negative contribution to psychological wellbeing (15,28).

The internal reliability coefficients for these six factors were fairly strong, ranging between 0.71 and 0.89. Besides, the item-total correlation coefficients for these six factors ranged between 0.32 and 0.77. The test-retest reliability coefficients for six factors were found to be ranging between 0.71 and 0.84.

Narcissistic Personality Inventory (NPI): The NPI is a 40-item scale that measures overt narcissism as a personality trait. Ames, Rose, and Anderson (29) created a short version of the NPI using of 16 items. This short version (NPI-16) is composed of six dimensions, namely, authority, superiority, exhibitionism, entitlement, self-sufficiency, and exploitativeness. In this scale, each item offers two options. The participant is asked to choose the one that fits most closely. The NPI-16 was standardized for a Turkish population by Atay (30). In this standardization study, it was demonstrated that Cronbach's alpha level is increased ($\alpha=0.65$) when one item ("I always know what I am doing / Sometimes I am not sure of what I am doing") is removed. Thus, NPI-15 has greater internal consistency than NPI-16. For this reason, the 15-item short version is used in this study (containing items such as "When people compliment me I sometimes get embarrassed / I know that I am good because everybody keeps telling me so", "I prefer to blend in with the crowd / I like to be the center of attention"). As mentioned above, a near-zero correlation between the NPI and the HSNS was found, which points to a good discriminant validity in delineating between overt and covert narcissism.

Procedure

The study consisted of two steps. In the first step, permission to translate the HSNS into Turkish and to use it in the current study was obtained from the developers of the scale via e-mail and approval of the ethics committee of the university was received. Afterwards, the English version of the HSNS was translated into Turkish by two of the authors with advanced proficiency in both languages. Then, another author with a command of both languages and was blind to the original version of the scale translated the Turkish text back into English. Lastly, essential editing was done by all authors of the study, examining the compatibility of the translated text with the original version (see Appendix A).

In the next step, the data were collected via online forms for the relevant scales and demographic variables. After receiving informed consent, the participants were administered the questionnaires in random order. After application, they were informed about the aim of the study.

Statistical Analysis

In the present study, the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), version 20 was used for statistical analyses. Firstly, in order to examine the factor structure of the Turkish version of the HSNS, factor analyses were conducted. Reliability analyses were performed and Cronbach's Alpha was calculated. To test the construct validity of the HSNS, correlation analyses were conducted between the scale and the NPI. To assess the discriminative validity of the scale, the same analyses were performed between the BPTI and the scale.

RESULTS

Data Cleaning

For the variables used in the analyses, assumptions of normality, linearity, and homoscedasticity were met. Moreover, multicollinearity and singularity issues were not detected in the dataset.

Psychometric Properties and Factorial Structure

Initially, the factorability of the 10 HSNS items was examined. Well-recognized criteria for the factorability of a correlation were used. Firstly, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy was 0.72, above the commonly recommended value of 0.6. Secondly, Bartlett's test of sphericity was significant ($\chi^2[45]=305.78, p<0.001$).

Principal axis factoring (PAF) was performed as an extraction method. The motivation behind this extraction was to discover the minimum number of factor axes needed to position the HSNS items reliably. The initial eigenvalue indicated that one factor that had only been extracted explained 17.27% of the variance.

A total of two items were removed since they did not contribute to the simple factor structure and failed to meet a minimum criterion of having a primary factor loading of 0.30 or above. These items were the first ("I can become entirely absorbed in thinking about my

personal affairs, my health, my cares or my relations to others") and the fourth one ("I dislike sharing the credit of an achievement with others") (see Appendix B). This elimination process was also assured by item-total statistics, which pointed out that if the fourth item was deleted, Cronbach's alpha would increase from 0.64 to 0.66, and if the first item was deleted, the reliability of the whole scale would remain the same.

For the final stage, PAF of the remaining eight items was conducted, with one factor explaining 20.71% of the variance afterwards. All items in this analysis had loadings over 0.30. The factor loading matrix for this final solution is presented in Table 1. The item content of the newly designed Turkish version of the HSNS reflected the hypersensitivity and vulnerability that Wink (31) had associated with covert narcissism as a specific term.

The reliability analysis, using Cronbach's alpha, demonstrated that the eight-item Turkish version of the HSNS was a reliable scale ($\alpha=0.66$). Item-total statistics are presented in Table 2.

Table 1: Factor loadings and communalities (h²) for Principal Axis Factoring (PAF) extraction on the eight-item HSNS

HSNS item	Hypersensitiveness	h ²
2. My feelings are easily hurt by ridicule or the slighting remarks of others.	0.40	0.16
3. When I enter a room, I often become self-conscious and feel that the eyes of others are upon me.	0.35	0.12
5. I feel that I have enough on my hands without worrying about other people's troubles.	0.46	0.21
6. I feel that I am temperamentally different from most people.	0.34	0.11
7. I often interpret the remarks of others in a personal way.	0.69	0.47
8. I easily become wrapped up in my own interests and forget the existence of others.	0.36	0.13
9. I dislike being with a group unless I know that I am appreciated by at least one of those present.	0.57	0.32
10. I am secretly "put out" or annoyed when other people come to me with their troubles, asking me for my time and sympathy.	0.36	0.13

Table 2: Item-total statistics for the eight-item HSNS

HSNS item	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha If Item Deleted
2. My feelings are easily hurt by ridicule or the slighting remarks of others.	0.31	0.17	0.64
3. When I enter a room, I often become self-conscious and feel that the eyes of others are upon me.	0.29	0.11	0.64
5. I feel that I have enough on my hands without worrying about other people's troubles.	0.36	0.18	0.62
6. I feel that I am temperamentally different from most people.	0.27	0.12	0.65
7. I often interpret the remarks of others in a personal way.	0.52	0.30	0.58
8. I easily become wrapped up in my own interests and forget the existence of others.	0.29	0.12	0.64
9. I dislike being with a group unless I know that I am appreciated by at least one of those present.	0.45	0.23	0.60
10. I am secretly "put out" or annoyed when other people come to me with their troubles, asking me for my time and sympathy.	0.29	0.16	0.64

Convergent and Discriminant Validity of the HSNS

Analysis of the eight items of the Turkish version of the HSNS in relation to subscales of the BPTI and the Turkish version of the NPI revealed both significant and nonsignificant correlations that were difficult to interpret through each item. Therefore, as a scale, the eight-item HSNS' relationships between the NPI and the exploitativeness/entitlement (E/E) subscale of the NPI were assessed. According to correlational analysis, it was found that the relationship between the eight-item HSNS and the NPI was not significant ($r=0.01$, $p=0.85$); hence, the current data replicated the main finding of previous studies that measures of covert narcissism tend to be uncorrelated with the total NPI (14,33). At this point, it is crucial to report that the discriminant validity of the eight-item Turkish version of the HSNS was established through this analysis. However, a contrasting finding that the HSNS was negatively correlated with E/E subscale of the NPI was also pointed out ($r=-0.22$, $p<0.001$).

In addition, to ensure further validity (i.e., convergent and discriminant validity), the eight-item Turkish version of the HSNS, the Turkish version of the NPI, and the E/E subscale of this NPI were run through correlational analysis with the subscales of the

BPTI (see in Table 3). There were significant negative correlations between the HSNS and the BPTI subscales of extraversion and agreeableness and significant positive correlations between the HSNS and the subscales of neuroticism and negative valence. It should be noted that the HSNS scores were not significantly related with the BPTI subscales of conscientiousness and openness to experience. Furthermore, there were significant positive relationships between the NPI and the BPTI subscales of extraversion, agreeableness, and openness to experience, while there was a significant negative relationship between the NPI and the BPTI subscale of neuroticism. Similarly, there were significant positive relationships between the E/E subscale of the NPI and the BPTI subscales of extraversion, conscientiousness, agreeableness, and openness to experience, in contrast to significant negative relationships between the E/E subscale and the BPTI subscales of neuroticism and negative valence. This suggested that the more an individual becomes overtly narcissist and has characteristics of exploitativeness and entitlement, the more he/she becomes extraverted, agreeable, open to new experience, and the less he/she behaves in a neurotic manner. Moreover, individuals having exploitativeness and entitlement characteristics might demonstrate characteristics of higher conscientiousness and less negative valence.

Table 3: Correlations of the eight-item Turkish version of the HSNS, the Turkish version of the NPI, and Exploitativeness/Entitlement (E/E) subscale of the NPI with the subscales of the BPTI, and descriptive statistics for these scales (n=300)

	HSNS	NPI	E/E	BPTI					
				E	C	A	N	O	NV
HSNS	1								
NPI	0.01	1							
E/E subscale of the NPI	-0.22**	0.57**	1						
Extraversion	-0.29**	0.22**	0.19**	1					
Conscientiousness	-0.09	0.10	0.12*	0.09	1				
Agreeableness	-0.21**	0.21**	0.27**	0.34**	0.25**	1			
Neuroticism	0.30**	-0.12*	-0.33**	-0.06	-0.09	-0.26**	1		
Openness to experience	-0.09	0.29**	0.14*	0.57**	0.08	0.26**	-0.06	1	
Negative valence	0.32**	-0.11	-0.34**	-0.22**	-0.21**	-0.43**	0.42**	-0.17**	1
Mean	22.63	22.00	7.86	27.30	28.37	33.05	25.45	21.61	10.21
Standard deviation	4.86	1.69	0.99	6.46	6.02	4.03	6.49	3.83	3.19

E: Extraversion, C: Conscientiousness, A: Agreeableness, N: Neuroticism, O: Openness to experience, NV: Negative Valence, * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$

DISCUSSION

In line with the predictions, the current study revealed that the reliability and validity of the eight-item Turkish version of the HSNS were adequate. This investigation hypothesized that the eight-item Turkish version of the HSNS would not be correlated with the NPI. The findings from the current study confirmed this hypothesis by demonstrating a zero-association between the HSNS and the NPI, suggesting that the former was different from the NPI since it pointed out distinct parts of narcissism. However, this hypothesis was not supported, as a significant negative association was found with the eight-item HSNS and the E/E subscale of the NPI. This finding, which was unexpected, suggested that when an individual shows more characteristics of covert narcissism, the probability of entitlement and exploitative-typed behaviors would decrease. On the other hand, as it was hypothesized, this study showed that there were significant negative correlations between the HSNS and agreeableness and extraversion dimensions of the BPTI. Moreover, the close link between the HSNS and various subscales of the BPTI was supported by the current study's finding demonstrating a positive link between the HSNS and neuroticism and negative valence dimensions of the BPTI. In other words, when covert narcissism of an individual increases, he/she might become less extraverted, less agreeable and might show more neuroticism and negative valence. The contrasting findings between the HSNS and the NPI together with its subscale in terms of many subscales of the BPTI should be noticed when considering the discriminant validity of the new Turkish version of the HSNS.

As mentioned, the E/E subscale of the NPI is only a relatively weak or indirect measure of the covert narcissistic tendencies of vulnerability and hypersensitivity that were emphasized by Wink (31). However, this interpretation is not consistent with the moderate negative correlation between E/E and covert narcissism as assessed by the current HSNS in this study. There may be two explanations for this unexpected finding. First of all, it could be a result of coping mechanisms used by individuals with vulnerable

narcissism. According to Young's Schema Theory, an individual with personality problems develops early maladaptive schemas that are self-defeating and emotional patterns repeating over their lifetime (33). Corresponding to these schemas, there are three schema coping styles called overcompensation, avoidance, and surrender. Specifically, individuals using avoidance as a coping style tend not to experience the schema, so that it is never activated. However, individuals with an overcompensation coping style are likely to think, feel, behave, and relate as though the opposite of the schema were true. In addition, Young et al. (33) stated that people with NPD usually use overcompensation and avoidance as coping styles. Regarding various coping styles utilized in different situations to cope with the same schema, while individuals with grandiose narcissism may use overcompensation as a coping strategy, vulnerable narcissistic people adopt avoidant coping to deal with their fragility. The second explanation may be the fact that people with covert narcissism can experience feeling of inadequacy resulting from their inadequate defenses. In the E/E subscale, a tendency to manipulate, to get respect and attention, and satisfaction from others are measured. These tendencies require a grandiose sense of self that is dominant in overt narcissism. With the sense of grandiosity, people with overt narcissism can manipulate, get angry, and maintain self-importance in interpersonal relationships in order to deal with the feeling of inadequacy. However, in covert narcissism, people cannot sustain the feeling of grandiosity in their interpersonal relationship; their defenses fail. This leads to narcissistic injury with feelings of shame, anxiety, depression, and inadequacy (34). Therefore, this feeling of inadequacy cannot be handled with grandiosity in covert narcissism. It may require social inhibition, poor interest in social interaction, and low agreeableness (10). Another unexpected result indicating the positive association between E/E and agreeableness was inconsistent with the literature. This result may be examined in further research as a part of cultural differences.

This research, which has been inspired by renewed attention to hypersensitive narcissism in the literature, has the potential to improve contemporary narcissism

research, which has sometimes been limited by exclusive reliance upon the measurement of overt narcissistic tendencies via the NPI. Obviously, a significant and useful consequence of this new interest in hypersensitive narcissism was the development of the HSNS by Hendin and Cheek (14). By showing that the Turkish version of the HSNS is a reliable and valid scale, this study makes a good point for improvement of narcissism research.

In conclusion, the results of this study supported the reliability and construct validity of the HSNS as a measure of hypersensitive narcissism; they also evidenced the existence of selected personality features that may be useful in differentiating hypersensitive narcissism from grandiose narcissism. Consistent with previous observations, the results showed that hypersensitive and grandiose dimensions represent dissociable aspects of narcissistic personality that share a common core of low cooperativeness but are characterized by distinct temperament profiles.

Another crucial issue is the diagnosis being a crucial tool for the therapists both for understanding a particular patient's etiology, current state and prognosis, and for selecting the appropriate treatment approach for this particular patient. Schurman (35) investigated the association between social phobia, shame, and hypersensitive narcissism. In that study, it was found

that social phobia is related to both hypersensitive narcissistic features and shame, meaning that social phobia might be misdiagnosed by clinicians, while the actual clinical picture of the patient is more likely to be covert narcissism. Therefore, this scale may be used as a differential tool for establishing the correct diagnosis and may affect the clinicians' evaluation and treatment plans.

However, it must be stressed that the results of these findings should be considered in the light of several limitations. Although the samples involved in the present study were moderately large, they were convenient study groups rather than random samples. These aspects inherently limit the generalizability of the findings. Another limitation of the present study was that the analysis did not include any re-test reliability statistics. While the reliability coefficients between the HSNS and the BPTI were satisfactory in the current study, this might result from the fact that the BPTI was a scale that was developed specifically for Turkish culture, whereas the HSNS was only adapted to the Turkish language rather than the culture. As a suggestion for future studies, it is highly recommended to conduct a comparison study between longer and shorter version of the scale (36). Bearing these limitations in mind, the results of this study suggest that the HSNS is a reliable and valid instrument that could be useful in the process of differentiating hypersensitive narcissism from other constructs.

REFERENCES

1. Pincus AL, Ansell EB, Pimentel CA, Cain NM, Wright AG, Levy KN. Initial construction and validation of the Pathological Narcissism Inventory. *Psychol Assess* 2009; 21:365-379. **[CrossRef]**
2. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-IV-TR*. Fourth Ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association, 2000.
3. Dickinson KA, Pincus AL. Interpersonal analysis of grandiose and vulnerable narcissism. *J Pers Disord* 2003; 17:188-207. **[CrossRef]**
4. Miller JD, Campbell WK. Comparing clinical and social-personality conceptualizations of narcissism. *J Pers* 2008; 76:449-476. **[CrossRef]**
5. Okada R. The relationship between vulnerable narcissism and aggression in Japanese undergraduate students. *Pers Individ Dif* 2010; 49:113-118. **[CrossRef]**
6. Given-Wilson Z, McIlwain D, Warburton W. Meta-cognitive and interpersonal difficulties in overt and covert narcissism. *Pers Individ Dif* 2011; 50:1000-1005. **[CrossRef]**
7. Lapsley DK, Aalsma MC. An empirical typology of narcissism and mental health in late adolescence. *J Adolesc* 2006; 29:53-71. **[CrossRef]**
8. Miller JD, Hoffman BJ, Gaughan ET, Gentile B, Maples J, Keith Campbell W. Grandiose and vulnerable narcissism: a nomological network analysis. *J Pers* 2011; 79:1013-1042. **[CrossRef]**
9. McWilliams N. *Psychoanalytic Diagnosis. Understanding Personality Structure in the Clinical Process*. New York: The Guilford Press, 1994.

10. Fossati A, Borroni S, Grazioli F, Dornetti L, Marcassoli I, Maffei C, Cheek J. Tracking the hypersensitive dimension in narcissism: reliability and validity of the Hypersensitive Narcissism Scale. *Personal Ment Health* 2009; 3:235-247. **[CrossRef]**
11. Raskin R, Terry H. A principal-components analysis of the Narcissistic Personality Inventory and further evidence of its construct validity. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1988; 54:890-902. **[CrossRef]**
12. Rosenthal SA, Montoya RM, Ridings LE, Rieck SM, Hooley JM. Further evidence of the Narcissistic Personality Inventory's validity problems: a meta-analytic investigation—Response to Miller, Maples, and Campbell (this issue). *J Res Pers* 2011; 45:408-416. **[CrossRef]**
13. Rosenthal SA, Hooley JM. Narcissism assessment in social-personality research: Does the association between narcissism and psychological health result from a confound with self-esteem? *J Res Pers* 2010; 44:453-465. **[CrossRef]**
14. Hendin HM, Cheek JM. Assessing hypersensitive narcissism: a reexamination of Murray's Narcism Scale. *J Res Pers* 1997; 31:588-599. **[CrossRef]**
15. Gencoz T, Oncul O. Examination of personality characteristics in a Turkish sample: development of Basic Personality Traits Inventory. *J Gen Psychol* 2012; 139:194-216. **[CrossRef]**
16. Murray HA. *Explorations in Personality*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1938.
17. Lucas RE, Baird BM. Extraversion and emotional reactivity. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 2004; 86:473-485. **[CrossRef]**
18. Ashton MC, Lee K, Paunonen SV. What is the central feature of extraversion? Social attention versus reward sensitivity. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 2002; 83:245-252. **[CrossRef]**
19. Cote S, Moskowitz DS. On the dynamic covariation between interpersonal behavior and affect: prediction from neuroticism, extraversion, and agreeableness. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1998; 75:1032-1046. **[CrossRef]**
20. Wilkowski BM, Robinson MD, Meier BP. Agreeableness and the prolonged spatial processing of antisocial and prosocial information. *J Res Pers* 2006; 40:1152-1168. **[CrossRef]**
21. Costa PT Jr, Widiger TA (editors). Introduction: Personality disorders and the five-factor model of personality. In: *Personality Disorders and the Five-Factor Model of Personality*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 2005, 3-14. **[CrossRef]**
22. George JM, Zhou J. When openness to experience and conscientiousness are related to creative behavior: an interactional approach. *J Appl Psychol* 2001; 86:513-524. **[CrossRef]**
23. Jensen-Campbell LA, Rosselli M, Workman KA, Santisi M, Rios JD, Bojan D. Agreeableness, conscientiousness, and effortful control processes. *J Res Pers* 2002; 36:476-489. **[CrossRef]**
24. Ben-Ari A, Lavee Y. Dyadic characteristics of individual attributes: attachment, neuroticism, and their relation to marital quality and closeness. *Am J Orthopsychiatry* 2005; 75:621-631. **[CrossRef]**
25. Ormel J, Wohlfarth T. How neuroticism, long-term difficulties, and life situation change influence psychological distress: a longitudinal model. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1991; 60:744-755. **[CrossRef]**
26. Weinstock LM, Whisman MA. Neuroticism as a common feature of the depressive and anxiety disorders: a test of the revised integrative hierarchical model in a national sample. *J Abnorm Psychol* 2006; 115:68-74. **[CrossRef]**
27. Bouchard G. Cognitive appraisals, neuroticism, and openness as correlates of coping strategies: an integrative model of adaptation to marital difficulties. *Can J Behav Sci* 2003; 35:1-12. **[CrossRef]**
28. Durrett C, Trull TJ. An evaluation of evaluative personality terms: a comparison of the big seven and five-factor model in predicting psychopathology. *Psychol Assess* 2005; 17:359-368. **[CrossRef]**
29. Ames DR, Rose P, Anderson CP. The NPI-16 as a short measure of narcissism. *J Res Pers* 2006; 40:440-450. **[CrossRef]**
30. Atay S. The standardization of Narcissistic Personality Inventory into Turkish. *Journal of Gazi University Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences* 2009; 11:181-196. (Turkish)
31. Wink P. Two faces of narcissism. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1991; 61:590-597. **[CrossRef]**
32. Emmons RA. Narcissism: theory and measurement. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1987; 52:11-17. **[CrossRef]**
33. Young JE, Klosko JS, Weishaar ME. *Schema Therapy: A Practitioner's Guide*. New York: Guilford Press, 2003.
34. Pincus AL, Lukowitsky MR. *Pathological narcissism and narcissistic personality disorder*. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* 2010; 6:421-446. **[CrossRef]**
35. Schurman CL. *Social phobia, shame and hypersensitive narcissism (Doctoral dissertation)*. Dayton, Ohio: Wright Institute, Graduate School of Psychology, 2000.
36. Smith GT, McCarthy DM, Anderson KG. On the sins of short-form development. *Psychol Assess* 2000; 12:102-111. **[CrossRef]**

Appendix A

Kırılgan Narsisizm Ölçeği (KNÖ)

(10 madde)

Lütfen aşağıdaki soruları, her bir maddenin sizin duygu ve davranışlarınızı ne dereceye kadar tanımladığına karar vererek cevaplandırınız. Altta yazılı derecelendirme ölçeğinden bir rakam seçerek her bir maddenin yanındaki boşluğu doldurunuz.

1= Hiç tanımlamıyor / Doğru değil / Kesinlikle katılmıyorum

2= Yansıtmıyor

3= Ne tanımlıyor ne tanımlamıyor / Kararsızım

4= Yansıtıyor

5= Oldukça tanımlıyor / Doğru / Kesinlikle katılıyorum

1. Tamamen kendi özel işlerim, sağlığım, kaygılarım ya da başkalarıyla olan ilişkilerim hakkında düşüncelere dalmış hale gelebilirim.
2. Duygularım, başkalarının alayları veya aşağılayıcı sözleriyle kolayca incinir.
3. Bir mekâna girdiğimde sıklıkla kendimin farkında olur ve başkalarının gözlerinin benim üzerimde olduğunu hissedirim.
4. Bir başarının övgüsünü başkalarıyla paylaşmaktan hoşlanmam.
5. Diğer insanların sorunları hakkında endişelenmeksizin kendimde yeterince sorun olduğunu hissedirim.
6. Mizaç olarak çoğu insandan farklı olduğumu hissedirim.
7. Sıklıkla başkalarının görüşlerini kişisel olarak yorumlarım.
8. Kendimi kolayca kendi uğraşlarıma kaptırır ve başkalarının varlığını unuturum.
9. Bir gruptaki kişilerin en az biri tarafından takdir edildiğimi bilmezsem, o grupla beraber olmaktan hoşlanmam.
10. Diğer insanlar sorunları için zamanımı ve acılarını paylaşmamı isteyerek bana geldiklerinde içten içe kızgın ya da rahatsız olurum.

Appendix B

Kırılgan Narsisizm Ölçeği (KNÖ)

(8 madde)

Lütfen aşağıdaki soruları, her bir maddenin sizin duygu ve davranışlarınızı ne dereceye kadar tanımladığına karar vererek cevaplandırınız. Altta yazılı derecelendirme ölçeğinden bir rakam seçerek her bir maddenin yanındaki boşluğu doldurunuz.

1= Hiç tanımlamıyor / Doğru değil / Kesinlikle katılmıyorum

2= Yansıtıyor

3= Ne tanımlıyor ne tanımlamıyor / Kararsızım

4= Yansıtıyor

5= Oldukça tanımlıyor / Doğru / Kesinlikle katılıyorum

1. Duygularım, başkalarının alayları veya aşağılayıcı sözleriyle kolayca incinir.
2. Bir mekâna girdiğimde sıklıkla kendimin farkında olur ve başkalarının gözlerinin benim üzerimde olduğunu hissederim.
3. Diğer insanların sorunları hakkında endişelenmeksizin kendimde yeterince sorun olduğunu hissederim.
4. Mizaç olarak çoğu insandan farklı olduğumu hissederim.
5. Sıklıkla başkalarının görüşlerini kişisel olarak yorumlarım.
6. Kendimi kolayca kendi uğraşlarıma kaptırır ve başkalarının varlığını unuturum.
7. Bir gruptaki kişilerin en az biri tarafından takdir edildiğimi bilmezsem, o grupta beraber olmaktan hoşlanmam.
8. Diğer insanlar sorunları için zamanımı ve acılarını paylaşmamı isteyerek bana geldiklerinde içten içe kızgın ya da rahatsız olurum.